



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,904	11/13/2003	David J. Baker	25090A	9434

22889 7590 01/19/2005

OWENS CORNING
2790 COLUMBUS ROAD
GRANVILLE, OH 43023

EXAMINER

HALPERN, MARK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1731

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/712,904

Applicant(s)

BAKER ET AL.

Examiner

Mark Halpern

Art Unit

1731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/03</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1) Applicant's election with traverse of invention I, drawn on claims 1-18, in the reply filed on 1/5/2005, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter of all claims is related and the search of the entire application could be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive; the applicant failed to provide an appropriate showing or evidence to rebut the showing of serious burden set forth in the restriction requirement as is required by MPEP 803 – merely arguing that the search of the entire application could be made without serious burden does not meet the requirements of MPEP 803.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 19-26, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

2) The restriction of species of shown in each of Figures 2, 4, 6, has been withdrawn.

Specification

3) Reference to related application is not recited on page 1 of the Specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4) Claims 1-7, 10, 15, 17-18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pflugl (5,925,165).

Claims 1-5, 7, 10: Pflugl discloses glass melting furnace 1 (col.1, lines 30-35) that is constructed of an upstream end and an downstream end, charge opening 7, multiple burners 9, 10, located and mounted in the roof of the furnace, and exhaust ducts 25, 38 located downstream of all of said burners (col. 3, line 18 to col. 4, line 46 and Figures 1, 2).

Claims 6, 17-18: exhaust ducts 25 and 38 read on exhaust stacks leading to a separate exhaust gas cleaning device or can be connected to a shared exhaust gas cleaning device (col. 4, lines 42-46).

Claim 15: Pflugl discloses glass melting furnace 1 (col.1, lines 30-35) that is constructed of an upstream end and a downstream end, charge opening 7 located at the upstream end, discharge 50 located at the downstream end, multiple burners 9, 10, located and mounted in the roof of the furnace, and exhaust ducts 25, 38 located downstream of all of said burners (col. 3, line 18 to col. 4, line 46 and Figures 1, 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5) Claim 12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pflugl.

Pflugl discloses glass melting furnace 1 (col.1, lines 30-35) that is constructed of an upstream end and a downstream end, charge opening 7 located at the upstream end, discharge 50 located at the downstream end, multiple burners 9, 10, located and mounted in the roof of the furnace, and exhaust ducts 25, 38 located downstream of all of said burners (col. 3, line 18 to col. 4, line 46 and Figures 1, 2). Pflugl is silent on the distance of the exhaust from the charge end wall, however, it would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that at least exhaust 38 be 70% the distance from the charge end wall to the discharge end wall since exhaust 38 is located near the discharge end wall.

6) Claims 8, 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pflugl in view of Bly (4,481,024). Pflugl is applied as above for claims 1, 15, Pflugl does not disclose that the exhaust is located at a discharge wall of the furnace. Bly discloses a glass melting furnace where exhausts 38, 39, are located at a discharge wall of the furnace as shown in Figure 1. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Pflugl and Bly,

because such a combination would improve heat recovery in the design of Pflugl as disclosed by Bly (Abstract).

7) Claims 9, 11, 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pflugl in view of Hoke (6,519,973). Pflugl is applied as above for claims 1, 10, 12, Pflugl does not disclose that the exhaust is located at a sidewall of the furnace. Hoke discloses a glass melting furnace where exhausts 145, 147, are located at sidewalls of the furnace as shown in Figure 7. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Pflugl and Hoke, because such a combination would improve glass quality of Pflugl as disclosed by Hoke (Abstract).

8) Claims 13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pflugl in view of Hoke and further in view of Bly. Pflugl in view of Hoke is applied as above for claim 11, Pflugl in view of Hoke is silent on the distance of the exhaust from the charge end wall, however, it would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that at least exhaust 38 of Pflugl be 80% the distance from the charge end wall to the discharge end wall since exhaust 38 is located near the discharge end wall.

9) Claims 1-6, 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boyle (3,552,949).

Claims 1-6: Boyle discloses glass melting furnace 1 that is constructed of an upstream end and a downstream end, charge opening 5, multiple burners 11 located

and mounted in the roof of the furnace, and exhaust stack 3 located downstream of all of said burners (col. 1, lines 10-17, col. 2, lines 8-44, and Figure 1).

Claim 8: the stack is located at a discharge end wall of the furnace as shown in Figure 1.

Conclusion

10) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Mark Halpern